#### **COPYRIGHT NOTICE**

This geotechnical log and its associated data (the Document) is licensed by the Queensland Department of Transport and Main Roads under the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Licence</u> (CC BY 4.0). When reusing the Document, in whole or in part, please attribute the Department and author as follows: "(c) State of Queensland (Department of Transport and Main Roads) 2020, licensed under the CC BY 4.0 Licence, prepared by SMEC". This licence does not apply to the Queensland Government logo or trademarks.

### **LIMITATION OF LIABILITY**

The CC BY 4.0 Licence contains a comprehensive Disclaimer of Warranties and Limitation of Liability. In addition, please note that this Document was prepared for Departmental use only. Reuse of the Document by anyone for any other purpose could result in error and/or loss. You should obtain professional advice before making decisions based on the contents of the Document.

When reproducing any part of this Document, you must also reproduce this limitation of liability notice in addition to the italicised attribution statement above.

Retrieved from the Queensland Geotechnical Database http://qgd.org.au/

This log has been contributed to the Queensland Geotechnical Database with the permission of SMEC.



# GEOTECHNICAL LOG OF NON-CORE DRILLHOLE

BH101 Borehole No: Sheet No: 1 OF 2

Project No: 3003659

Client: QDTMR

Project: Smith Olsen Detailed Design Geotech Investigation Co-ordinates System: UTM Zone 56 Feature:

Easting: 534787.9m E

47.53 Surface RL (m): Angle from Horz: 90

.oca	atio	n:	Re	fer L	ocati	ion P	lan					Northing: 6906949.4m S	D	irection	: n/a
	-	DRII	LIN	G				TES	STING			SUBSTANCE			I
Method	Support		ate Slow	Water	Sample	Depth (m)	Depth/RL	Туре	Sample or Field Test	Graphic Log	USC Symbol	Description  Soil Type: density/consistency, grain size/plasticity, colour, particle shape/secondary components, minor constituents, moisture, origin, additional observations.	Moisture	Consistency/ Density	Other Observations
4			4 5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
					SPT	- - -	0.50 47.03 0.70 46.888 46.73	S	10,11,12 N=23		ML	Clayey SILT: Firm, dark brown, some grass roots, some fine to medium gravel, dry, fill?  Silty GRAVEL: Medium dense, fine to coarse angular gravel  (Fr-SW) pale brown silt, dry.  Trace of orange brown and dark grey clay fines	D	F	Cobbles have been drilled an
ATC	HQ Casing		         		SPT	1	1.30 46.23	S	9,10,13 N=23			Fine to medium.  Fine to coarse, some fine to medium grained sand with trace of clay fines, moist.	М	MD	_ redrilled up to several times indicating possible uncompacted pockets of fine material between boulders
						2	2.00								
						3-						Refer to Geotechnical Log of Cored Drillhole			
						- - - 4-									
						5-									
						- - - 6-									
			         			- - -									
						7— - - -									
						8									
						9-									
						_									
tes	s (In	stru	men	ation	etc):										
.03	, (III	Ju u		auoi	. c.c.j.										
	racto ome			GeoDi Hydrol	rill power	Scout						<b>Completed:</b> 11/07/11 <b>Completed:</b> 11/07/11			Logged By: ME/BD Checked By: AR



# **GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS LOG**

BH101 Borehole No: Sheet No: 2 OF 2

**Project No:** 3003659


Client: **QDTMR** 

Smith Olsen Detailed Design Geotech Investigation Co-ordinates System: UTM Zone 56 Project: Feature:

E: 534787.9 N: 6906949.4

Surface RL (m): 47.53 Angle from Horz: 90

Loc	atio	n:	Re	efer Location Plan N: 6906949.4								Direction: n/a												
	DRIL	LLIN	<b>IG</b>					SUBSTANCE									TE	ST					DEFECTS	
					Œ.	RL	o l	Description	W	/eat	her	ing			nate ngth					ract	ure (mm)	)	Description	
Method	Water	TCR %	RQD %	Lift	Depth (m)	Depth/RL	Graphic Log	ROCK TYPE, mineralogy, grain size, colour, fabric, etc.	EW	₽₽	NS.	S F.	급	2 را	≥ I :	ΞH	Туре	Result	20	40 :   100	300 )  100	Depth 0	Type, Orientation, S Coating, Planarit Thickn	Spacing, Infilling y, Roughness, ess.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	L		10	Ţ		1	1	$\Box$	12	13	Ė	14		15	16	
- - - - - -					- - 1— -			Refer to Geotechnical log of Non-cored Drillhole																
-					-	2.00		Start Coring at 2.00m																
- - -		100 100 100		2.2	-2 - - -	45.53 2.70 44.83		Gravelly SILT and BOULDERS/COBBLES: Stiff, low plasticity, grey-brown, fine to coarse gravel (Siltstone), cobbles (angular to sub angular MW to SW Metasiltstone and Metasandstone) and boulders (MW-SW and Fr). Fill?  CORE																
-   -   -		33			3	3.50 44.03		Gravelly SILT and BOULDERS/COBBLES: Stiff, low plasticity, grey-brown, fine to coarse gravel (Siltstone), cobbles (angular to sub angular MW																
-		100		3.9 4.2	4-			(Siltstone), cobbles (angular to sub angular MW to SW Metasiltstone and Metasandstone) and boulders (MW-SW and Fr), fill?																
		100		4.4	_																			
NMLC		100		4.8	5-																			
-		38		5.2	_ _	5.15 42.38 5.55		CORE LOSS (5.15m to 5.55m)																
- - - - - -				5.8	6— 6— - - 7—	41.98		Gravelly SILT and BOULDERS/COBBLES: Stiff, low plasticity, grey-brown, fine to coarse gravel (Siltstone), cobbles (angular to sub angular MW to SW Metasiltstone and Metasandstone) and boulders (MW-SW and Fr), fill?																
-					_	7.40																		
					_	40.13	$\bowtie$	CORE LOSS (7.40m to 7.60m)  Hole discontinued at 7.60m	$\perp$		$\parallel$				$\parallel$	$\parallel$				$\parallel$	$\perp$			
- - - -					8-			гыс ызылинией ат 7.00П																
-					-																			
					9																			
Note	s (In	stru	mer	ntati	on etc	):					Ш			Ц										
Con				Geo				Com	me	ence	ed:		11/	07/1	1								Logged By:	ME/BD
	pme				ropow	er Sco	ut	Com						07/1									Checked By:	AR
-								ations are given on explanatory notes															•	



Borehole	Number	BH	BH101								
Box	1	of	1								
Depth	2.0m	to	7.6m								
Project	Smith St & Olsen Av										
Number	3003659										
Client	QDTMR										





### NOTES RELATING TO GEOTECHNICAL REPORTS AND SITE INVESTIGATION LOGS

### GEOTECHNICAL REPORTS AND SITE INVESTIGATION LOGS

Geotechnical reports/logs are prepared by qualified personnel on the information supplied or obtained and are based on current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis.

Information may be gained from limited subsurface testing, surface observations, previous work, and is supplemented by knowledge of the local geology and experience of the range of properties that may exhibited by the materials present. For this reason, geotechnical reports should be regarded as interpretative rather than factual documents, limited to some extent by the scope of information on which they rely.

Where the report/log has been prepared for a specific purpose (e.g. design of a three-storey building), the information and interpretation may not be appropriate if the design is changed (e.g. a twenty-storey building). In such cases, the report/log and the sufficiency of the existing work should be reviewed by SMEC in the light of the new proposal.

Every care is taken with the report/log content; however, it is not always possible to anticipate or assume responsibility for the following conditions:

- Unexpected variations in ground conditions. The potential for this depends on the amount of investigative work undertaken.
- Changes in policy or interpretation by statutory authorities
- The actions of contractors responding to commercial pressures

If these occur, SMEC would be pleased to resolve the matter through further investigation, analysis or advice.

#### **UNFORESEEN CONDITIONS**

Should conditions encountered on site differ markedly from those anticipated from the information contained in the report/log, SMEC should be notified immediately. Early identification of site anomalies generally results in any problems being more readily resolved and allows re-interpretation and assessment of the implications for future work.

# SUBSURFACE INFORMATION

Logs of a borehole, recovered core, test pit, excavated face, or cone penetration test are an engineering and/or geological interpretation of the subsurface conditions. The reliability of the logged information depends on the drilling/testing method, sampling/observation spacing's and the ground conditions. It is not always possible or economic to obtain continuous high-quality data. It should also be recognised that the volume of material observed or tested is only a fraction of the total subsurface profile.

Interpretation of subsurface information and application to design and construction must take into consideration the spacing of the test locations, the frequency of observations and testing, and the possibility that geological boundaries may vary between observation points.

Groundwater observations and measurements outside of specially designed and constructed piezometers should be treated with care for the following reasons:

- In low permeability soils groundwater may not seep into an excavation or bore in the short time it is left open.
- A localised perched water table may not represent the true water table.
- Groundwater levels vary according to rainfall events or season.
- Some drilling and testing procedures mask or prevent groundwater inflow.

The installation of piezometers and long-term monitoring of groundwater levels may be required to adequately identify groundwater conditions.